









Poster B-33

Pain & Symptom Management EAPC October 2021

DEVELOPMENT OF A CORE OUTCOME SET FOR INOPERABLE MALIGNANT BOWEL OBSTRUCTION

Alison Bravington¹, Elin Baddeley², Elaine Boland¹, David C Currow³, Miriam Johnson¹, Fliss EM Murtagh¹, Annmarie Nelson², George Obita⁴, Kathy Seddon², Alfred Oliver⁵, Simon Noble² & Jason W Boland¹

¹Wolfson Palliative Care Research Centre, Hull York Medical School, UK; ²Marie Curie Palliative Care Research Centre, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK; ³University of Technology, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ⁴Dove House Hospice, Hull, UK; ⁵Trans-Humber Consumer Research Panel, Hull, UK

BACKGROUND

Malignant bowel obstruction is experienced by 15% of people with advanced disease. Palliative options for inoperable malignant bowel obstruction (IMBO) include:

- Drainage of intestinal contents using stents or decompression tubes
- Reduction of secretions and further symptom control using medication
- Administration of parenteral nutrition

Synthesis of evidence is crucial in gaining a better understanding of how to manage IMBO. However, the heterogeneity of outcome measures used render metaanalysis impossible. Further, we do not know which outcomes are important for patients or their informal caregivers.

AIM

To develop a Core Outcome Set (COS) for the assessment of IMBO through a consensus process with patients, caregivers and clinicians.

Identify outcomes currently used to evaluate IMBO through a systematic literature review. PHASE I

METHODS

The study followed protocols for four-phase Core Outcome Set development established by the COMET initiative (Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials, www.comet-initiative.org). Stakeholders included patients and caregivers, oncologists, palliative care specialists, specialist nurses, surgeons and dietitians.

PHASE II

Understand priorities and preferences for the management of IMBO through a rapid review of the qualitative literature and an in-depth interview study with health care professionals, patients and caregivers.

Interviews: 19 health care

professionals 8 patients with IMBO

PHASE III

Present list of outcome measures for Steering Group and PPI review. Present streamlined outcome list for independent clinical **Expert Panel review.**

Patient and Public Involvement (PPI): 2 representatives **Expert Panel:**

5 palliative care **IMBO** specialists

PHASE IV

Outcomes list feeds into 2-round Delphi survey with patients, caregivers, dietitians, clinical nurse specialists, oncologists, palliative care specialists and surgeons.

Two Consensus Meetings via virtual conferencing with clinical and lay stakeholders to reach agreement on a Core Outcome Set through discussion and live polling.

International Delphi process:

Round 1: 153 respondents Rounds 1 & 2: 88 respondents **Consensus Meetings:** 5 patients & caregivers 11 doctors/dietitians/nurse specialists

Poster presentation by Dr Alison Bravington Research Assessment Measures for Malignant Bowel Obstruction

Dr Bravington alison.bravington@hyms.ac.uk Dr Boland jason.boland@hyms.ac.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This project was funded by Marie Curie. The research team would like to thank the patients, caregivers and clinicians who gave their time.





RESULTS

PHASE I: 500 verbatim outcomes pooled into 141 standardised terms; outcomes not patient-relevant or specific to MBO dropped, leaving 72 outcomes.

PHASE II: Identified ambiguities surrounding treatment and communication; 5 outcomes added.

PHASE III: 12 outcomes dropped (overlapping terminology, not patient-centred, interventionspecific); 17 outcomes added.

PHASE IV: 82 outcomes taken forward to Round 1 Delphi, 24 to Round 2 Delphi. Consensus reached on 16 core outcomes across four domains.

CORE OUTCOME SET: KEY DOMAINS

SYMPTOM CONTROL

overall symptom control pain (intensity) nausea (intensity) vomiting (frequency)

SUCCESS OF TREATMENT resolution of obstruction ability to go home from hospital readmissions related to bowel obstruction success of treatment as defined by patient support from health care professionals

COMMUNICATION **AND PATIENT PREFERENCES**

patient's understanding of treatment communication between health care professionals communication between health care professionals and patients goals of care agreed

LIFE IMPACT

distress overall wellbeing quality of life

CONCLUSION

The COS produced by this study will enable a consistent approach to research and clinical assessment.